

Leibniz Cohomology and the Calculus of Variations

Jerry M. Lodder

Math Sciences, Dept. MB
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces, NM 88003

1 Introduction

In a previous publication [6] a definition of Loday's Leibniz cohomology, HL^* , [5] was proposed for differentiable manifolds. In particular every k -tensor ω (from classical differential geometry) is a cochain in the Leibniz complex. Although the Leibniz coboundary, $d\omega$, is not necessarily a $(k+1)$ -tensor, $d\omega$ remains a local operator on vector fields with the value of $d\omega$ at a point p in the manifold M determined by the values of ω in an arbitrary open neighborhood containing p . With this writing we offer an explicit formula for $d\omega$ in a local coordinate chart, and provide a geometric interpretation of $d\omega$ in terms of the calculus of variations. If ω is the metric two-tensor on a Riemannian manifold, then the local expression for $d\omega$ involves the Christoffel symbols, while the global definition of $d\omega$ reduces to the first variation formula for arc length. More generally the Leibniz coboundary of any two tensor ω can be written in terms of the necessary conditions to achieve a minimum (or maximum) value of $\int \omega$ over a locally immersed curve or surface. The paper closes with the computation of the Leibniz coboundary of the Riemann curvature tensor R in terms of its covariant derivative ∇R .

Section two of the paper begins with a brief recollection of HL^* for a differentiable manifold M , and proceeds with the foundational material needed to prove that $d\omega$ is a local operator. Section three contains the results for two tensors and the calculus of variations. The final section provides the local

coboundary formula for arbitrary k -tensors as well as the global coboundary of the Riemann curvature tensor. For more background material about $HL^*(M)$ and in particular calculations of HL^* for Euclidean n -space (which are highly non-trivial), see [6]. For more information about Leibniz homology and cohomology, see [3] [4] [5].

2 The Leibniz Coboundary as a Local Operator

We begin by reviewing the definition of Leibniz cohomology for differentiable manifolds [6], and show that the Leibniz coboundary of a k -tensor, $d\omega$, is a local operator, i.e. $d\omega$ at $p \in M$ is determined by the value of ω on an arbitrary open neighborhood of $p \in M$. This permits (in later sections) the formulation of $d\omega$ in terms of a local coordinate chart. Let M be a differentiable (C^∞) manifold of dimension n , $\chi(M)$ the Lie algebra of C^∞ vector fields on M , and $C^\infty(M)$ the algebra of C^∞ real-valued functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Recall that $C^\infty(M)$ is a left representation of $\chi(M)$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C^\infty(M) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M) \\ [X, f] &\mapsto X(f), \end{aligned}$$

where $X(f)$ is the Lie derivative of $f \in C^\infty(M)$ in the direction $X \in \chi(M)$. Let

$$C^k(M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}^c(\chi(M)^{\otimes k}, C^\infty(M)), \quad k \geq 0,$$

denote the $\mathbf{R}$ -vector space of continuous homomorphisms

$$\alpha : \chi(M)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

in the strong C^∞ topology. See [2] for a discussion of this topology. Then the Leibniz cohomology of M with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$, written

$$HL^*(\chi(M); C^\infty(M)),$$

is the homology of the cochain complex

$$C^0(M) \rightarrow C^1(M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^k(M) \xrightarrow{d} C^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d\alpha(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1}) = & \\
\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i (\alpha(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{X}_i \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1})) + & \\
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \alpha(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{i-1} \otimes [X_i, X_j] \otimes X_{i+1} \otimes & \\
\dots \otimes \hat{X}_j \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1}). &
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let ω be a k -tensor on M , i.e.

$$\omega : M \rightarrow T^*(M)^{\otimes k}$$

is a C^∞ section of the k -fold tensor product of the cotangent bundle. Then ω determines an element of

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}^c(\chi(M)^{\otimes k}, C^\infty(M))$$

via $\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k) : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k)(p) = \omega(X_1(p) \otimes X_2(p) \otimes \dots \otimes X_k(p)).$$

Although $d\omega$ is not necessarily a $(k+1)$ -tensor [6], the following local result remains valid.

Lemma 2.1. *Let ω be a k -tensor on M and $O \subset M$ open. If*

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k+1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{k+1} \in \chi(M)$$

with $X_i = Y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$, on O , then

$$d\omega(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1})(p) = d\omega(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_{k+1})(p)$$

for all $p \in O$.

Proof. Since the Lie bracket at p

$$[X_i, X_j](p)$$

is determined by the values of X_i and X_j on an open set containing p , we have

$$[X_i, X_j](p) = [Y_i, Y_j](p), \quad p \in O.$$

Also, the (Lie) derivative of a function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ at p is determined by the values of f on an open set containing p . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(\omega(X_1 \otimes \dots \hat{X}_i \dots \otimes X_{k+1}))(p) = \\ Y_i(\omega(Y_1 \otimes \dots \hat{Y}_i \dots \otimes Y_{k+1}))(p), \quad p \in O. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $x : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a coordinate chart for M , $p \in U$ fixed with $x(p) = 0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. If $X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}$ are C^∞ vector fields on U , we may define

$$d\omega(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1})(p)$$

for a k -tensor as follows. Let $g : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^∞ function with

$$g(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \|v\| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \|v\| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $g \circ x : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is C^∞ and may be extended to a C^∞ function $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ via

$$\varphi(q) = \begin{cases} 0, & q \in M - U, \\ (g \circ x)(q), & q \in U. \end{cases}$$

Define C^∞ vector fields Y_i on M by setting $Y_i = \varphi X_i$ on U and $Y_i = 0$ on $M - U$. Clearly

$$Y_i = X_i \quad \text{on} \quad O = x^{-1}\left(\{v \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \|v\| < 1\}\right).$$

Set

$$d\omega(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1})(p) := d\omega(Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_{k+1})(p). \quad (2.2)$$

By lemma (2.1), the value of $d\omega(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{k+1})(p)$ is independent of the choice of $g : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. The formula in equation (2.2) is useful for the construction of the Leibinz coboundary of a tensor in local coordinate chart.

3 Two Tensors and the Calculus of Variations

In this section we compute the Leibniz coboundary of a two tensor in terms of the local coordinate chart (x, U) , where $U \subset M$ is open, and

$$x : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

is a homeomorphism belonging to the atlas of charts for the differentiable structure of M . The coefficients of this coboundary can be identified with those which occur in the optimization process for the integral of a two tensor over an immersed curve or surface (within U). For example, the Leibniz coboundary of the metric two-tensor (for M Riemannian) can be expressed in terms of the Christoffel symbols.

For completeness we begin with a one-form (i.e. a one-tensor), which has a local expression on U as

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i dx^i,$$

where $a_i : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are C^∞ functions. From equation (2.1), the Leibniz coboundary of ω agrees with the de Rham coboundary of ω , and in local coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^i \\ &= \sum_{j < i} \left(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x^i} \right) dx^j \wedge dx^i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We now discuss in what sense the functions

$$\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x^i}$$

arise from the calculus of variations. Let

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U, \quad \gamma(0) = p, \quad \gamma(1) = q$$

be a C^∞ curve with a C^∞ variation

$$\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(0, t) &= \gamma(t) \\ \alpha(s, 0) &= p \quad \text{for } -\epsilon < s < \epsilon \\ \alpha(s, 1) &= q \quad \text{for } -\epsilon < s < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We wish to investigate to what extent

$$J(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) dt$$

is an extreme value (as a function of s) of

$$J(\alpha(s)) = \int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(s, t)\right) dt.$$

Let $\gamma^i = x^i(\gamma(t)) \in \mathbf{R}$ be the i -th component of the curve $\gamma(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\gamma}{dt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\gamma(t)} \\ \omega\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\gamma(t)) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \\ \int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) dt &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\gamma(t)) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \right) dt\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the treatment of the calculus of variations, [9, p. 438], we define

$$F : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

by $F(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) y^i$, where $y = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$. In our case

$$a_i(x) := (a_i x^{-1})(x(\gamma(t))) \quad \text{and} \quad y^i = \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt}.$$

A necessary condition that $J(\gamma)$ be an extreme value is that the “Euler-Lagrange” equations hold [9, p. 438]

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\ell} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^\ell} \right), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\ell}\left(\gamma(t), \frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^\ell}\left(\gamma(t)\right) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^\ell}\left(\gamma(t), \frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) &= a_\ell(\gamma(t)) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\left(a_\ell(\gamma(t))\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial x^j}\left(\gamma(t)\right) \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt}\end{aligned}$$

The condition in equation (3.2) may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^\ell} - \frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial x^i}\right)(\gamma(t)) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for each $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. The coefficients arising in (3.3) also appear in (3.1). Of course,

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\Big|_{\gamma(t)} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\Big|_{\gamma(t)}\right)\right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x^\ell} - \frac{\partial a_\ell}{\partial x^i}\right)(\gamma(t)) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt}.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove an identical result for the Leibniz coboundary of a two-tensor (which is not necessarily a two-form).

Let ω be a two-tensor on M with local expression

$$\omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$$

on U . Let $\gamma : I^2 \rightarrow U$ be an immersion (C^∞ is sufficient), where

$$I^2 = \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq t_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Although the value of the integral

$$J(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t_1} \otimes \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t_2}\right) dt_1 dt_2 \quad (3.4)$$

generally depends on the parameterization γ (and not just the image of γ), necessary conditions for an extreme value of $J(\gamma)$ can still be sought. Consider the C^∞ variation

$$\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times I^2 \rightarrow U$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, t_1, t_2) &= \gamma(t_1, t_2) \\ \alpha(s, 1, t_2) &= \gamma(1, t_2), \quad \alpha(s, 0, t_2) = \gamma(0, t_2), \quad -\epsilon < s < \epsilon \\ \alpha(s, t_1, 1) &= \gamma(t_1, 1), \quad \alpha(s, t_1, 0) = \gamma(t_1, 0), \quad -\epsilon < s < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then as a function of s ,

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha(s)) &= \\ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t_1}(s, t_1, t_2) \otimes \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t_2}(s, t_1, t_2) \right) dt_1 dt_2 &= \\ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\alpha(s, t_1, t_2)) \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial t_1}(s, t_1, t_2) \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2}(s, t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\alpha^i(s, t_1, t_2) = x^i(\alpha(s, t_1, t_2)) \in \mathbf{R}$. Likewise, set

$$\gamma^i = x^i(\gamma(t_1, t_2)) \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *A necessary condition that $J(\gamma)$ in equation (3.4) be an extreme value for the variation $J(\alpha(s))$ is that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{-\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial a_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial a_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j} \right) (\gamma(t_1, t_2)) \frac{\partial \gamma^i}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \gamma^j}{\partial t_2} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{\ell j} + a_{j\ell}) (\gamma(t_1, t_2)) \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^j}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Proof. One computes $\frac{d(J\alpha(s))}{ds}$ directly and equates

$$\left. \frac{d(J\alpha(s))}{ds} \right|_{s=0} = 0.$$

In the following a_{ij} and $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell}$ are evaluated at $\alpha(s, t_1, t_2)$ while all partial derivatives of the α^i 's are evaluated at (s, t_1, t_2) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(J\alpha(s))}{ds} = & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} \frac{\partial \alpha^\ell}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha^i}{\partial s \partial t_1} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha^j}{\partial s \partial t_2} \right\} dt_1 dt_2, \end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified using intergration by parts and, in certain terms, the boundary values of α . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha^i}{\partial s \partial t_1} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2} dt_1 dt_2 &= \text{(I)} + \text{(II)}, \\ \text{(I)} &= \int_0^1 \left[a_{ij} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2} \Big|_{t_1=0}^{t_1=1} dt_2 = 0, \right. \\ \text{(II)} &= - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial \alpha^k}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial t_2} \right) + a_{ij} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial s} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha^j}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} \right\} dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

After reindexing,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(J\alpha(s))}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} = & \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \alpha^\ell}{\partial s} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} - \frac{\partial a_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial a_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j} \right) \frac{\partial \gamma^i}{\partial t_1} \frac{\partial \gamma^j}{\partial t_2} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{\ell j} + a_{j\ell}) \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^j}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} \right\} dt_1 dt_2, \end{aligned}$$

where a_{ij} and $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell}$ are evaluated at $\gamma(t_1, t_2)$ and $\frac{\partial \alpha^\ell}{\partial s}$ is evaluated at $(0, t_1, t_2)$. The lemma now follows from the standard techniques of the calculus of variations, for example [9, p. 432–438], and in particular [9, p. 435]. $\square$

Recall that the symbols

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$$

may be interpreted as vector fields on U as well as derivations of the ring $C^\infty(U)$. To state the next lemma, we introduce the composition operators

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^p : \chi(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$$

given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^p \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \frac{\partial a_p}{\partial x^\ell}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let ω be a two-tensor on M with local expression on U*

$$\omega = \sum_{p,q=1}^n a_{pq} dx^p \otimes dx^q,$$

where each $a_{pq} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is C^∞ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega = & \sum_{p,q=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{pq}}{\partial x^\ell} dx^\ell \otimes dx^p \otimes dx^q - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{pq}}{\partial x^\ell} dx^p \otimes dx^\ell \otimes dx^q \right. \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{pq}}{\partial x^\ell} dx^p \otimes dx^q \otimes dx^\ell \\ & \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{pq} dx^\ell \otimes dx^p \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^q \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{pq} dx^\ell \otimes dx^q \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^p \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)$ with local expressions

$$X_1 = \sum_{i_1=1}^n c_{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}}, \quad X_2 = \sum_{i_2=1}^n c_{i_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}}, \quad X_3 = \sum_{i_3=1}^n c_{i_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_3}}.$$

Then

$$d\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^n d\omega \left(c_{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes c_{i_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}} \otimes c_{i_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_3}} \right),$$

and from equation (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega \left(c_{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes c_{i_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}} \otimes c_{i_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_3}} \right) = \\ c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} (a_{i_2 i_3}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}} (a_{i_1 i_3}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_3}} (a_{i_1 i_2}) \right) \\ + (a_{i_2 i_3} + a_{i_3 i_2}) c_{i_1} c_{i_2} \frac{\partial c_{i_3}}{\partial x^{i_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the right-hand side of $d\omega$ in the statement of the lemma to

$$c_{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes c_{i_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}} \otimes c_{i_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_3}},$$

the same result is obtained. $\square$

Thus, given $\omega = \sum_{p,q=1}^n a_{pq} dx^p \otimes dx^q$, we have

$$d\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = \frac{\partial a_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial a_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j}. \quad (3.6)$$

Although $d\omega$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in the first two tensor factors, this is not the case for the third factor:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \otimes c \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = \\ c \left(\frac{\partial a_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial a_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j} \right) + (a_{j\ell} + a_{\ell j}) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The coefficients of $d\omega$ appearing in equation (3.7) are the same as those in lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor*

$$\omega = \langle \ , \ \rangle = \sum_{p,q=1}^n g_{pq} dx^p \otimes dx^q, \quad g_{pq} = g_{qp},$$

which is compatible with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Then

- (i) $d\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = 2[ij, \ell],$ (twice the Christoffel symbol),
- (ii) $d\omega(X \otimes Y \otimes Z) = 2\langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$ for $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from equation (3.6) and the definition of the Christoffel symbols of the first kind

$$[ij, \ell] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{j\ell}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} \right).$$

For part (ii), recall that since ∇ is compatible with the metric tensor [1, p. 54], we have

$$X(\langle Y, Z \rangle) = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle.$$

Since the Levi-Civita connection is symmetric (i.e. torsion-free) [1, p. 54–55] [10, p. 255–256], we have

$$[X, Y] = \nabla_X(Y) - \nabla_Y(X).$$

The lemma now follows from (2.1), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X \otimes Y \otimes Z) &= X(\langle Y, Z \rangle) - Y(\langle X, Z \rangle) + Z(\langle X, Y \rangle) \\ &\quad - \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle + \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle + \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, the obstruction to $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity of the Leibniz coboundary in lemma 3.3 is the failure of the connection ∇ to be $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in its second argument. Also, the first variation of arc length of $\gamma : I \rightarrow U$ can be recovered as

$$\frac{1}{2} d\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \otimes Y \otimes \frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) = \left\langle Y, \nabla_{\frac{d\gamma}{dt}}\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) \right\rangle,$$

where Y is a vector field which represents the variation of the curve γ . A necessary condition that γ be a geodesic is that

$$d\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \otimes Y \otimes \frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right) = 0$$

for all Y , since $\nabla_{\frac{d\gamma}{dt}}\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right)$ must vanish along such curves.

The only two-tensors which are global cocycles, however, must be two-forms.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ω be a two-tensor on M with $d\omega = 0$ in the Leibniz cochain complex. Then ω is a two-form.*

Proof. Letting $\omega = \sum_{p,q=1}^n a_{pq} dx^p \otimes dx^q$ be a local expression for ω , then

$$d\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = 0$$

implies $\frac{\partial a_{\ell j}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x^\ell} + \frac{\partial a_{i\ell}}{\partial x^j} = 0$. Furthermore

$$d\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \otimes x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = 0$$

and (3.7) imply that $a_{j\ell} = -a_{\ell j}$. Thus, ω is skew-symmetric. $\square$

The Leibniz coboundary of a k -tensor ω agrees with the terms occurring in the optimization of the integral of ω if ω is skew-symmetric in its last $(k-1)$ -arguments. Such tensors naturally occur in the E^2 term of the Pirashvili spectral sequence for Leibniz cohomology [7] [6]. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbf{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{R})$$

the coadjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, and that

$$H_{\text{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}')$$

denotes the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}'$. An element of $H_{\text{Lie}}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}')$ can be represented by a tensor

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

which is skew-symmetric in its last $(k-1)$ -tensor factors [6]. Moreover, $H_{\text{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}')$ occurs in the E^2 term of the Pirashvili spectral sequence for $HL^*(\mathfrak{g})$.

4 The Local Coboundary Formula

Let ω be a k -tensor on M with local expression

$$\sum_I a_I dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k}, \quad (4.1)$$

where I is the multi-index $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, and the summation ranges over

$$0 \leq i_1 \leq n, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq n, \quad \dots, \quad 0 \leq i_k \leq n.$$

To state the coboundary formula, the following local operators are introduced. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\omega) = \sum_I \Bigg\{ & \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^\ell} dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^\ell} dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^\ell} dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes dx^\ell \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + \dots \\
& + (-1)^{k+2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^\ell} dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \otimes dx^\ell \Bigg\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S(dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k}) = & \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_2} \right) \otimes dx^{i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_3} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + \dots \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_{k-1}} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_k} \right) \\
& + (-1)^4 \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_1} \right) \otimes dx^{i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + (-1)^5 \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes dx^{i_3} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_1} \right) \otimes dx^{i_4} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \\
& + \dots \\
& + (-1)^{k+2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes dx^{i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell} \circ dx^{i_1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *If ω is a k -tensor on M , $k \geq 2$, with local expression given in equation (4.1), then locally the Leibniz coboundary of ω is*

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega) = L(\omega) + \sum_I a_I \Big\{ & S(dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k}) \\ & - dx^{i_1} \otimes S(dx^{i_2} \otimes dx^{i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k}) \\ & + dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes S(dx^{i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k}) \\ & + \dots \\ & + (-1)^{k-2} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_{k-2}} \otimes S(dx^{i_{k-1}} \otimes dx^{i_k}) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on k with the case $k = 2$ proven in lemma 3.2. To streamline the inductive step, define a $(k-1)$ -tensor β (often called a contraction) by

$$\beta(v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k\right),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}}$ is a fixed canonical vector field on a coordinate chart. Let

$$z = \left(c_{j_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} \otimes c_{j_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_2}} \otimes c_{j_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_3}} \otimes \dots \otimes c_{j_{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k+1}}}\right)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(z) &= \\ &= c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \dots c_{j_{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} (a_{j_2 j_3 \dots j_{k+1}}) \\ &+ \sum_{m=3}^{k+1} \left(a_{j_2 j_3 \dots j_{k+1}} + (-1)^{m+1} a_{j_m j_2 j_3 \dots \hat{j}_m \dots j_{k+1}} \right) c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \dots \hat{c}_{j_m} \dots c_{j_{k+1}} \frac{\partial c_{j_m}}{\partial x^{j_1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_1=1}^n (-dx^{i_1} \otimes d\beta)(z) \\ &= \sum_I \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^\ell} dx^\ell \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \right)(z) \\ &+ \sum_I a_I S(dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k})(z) + \sum_{i_1=1}^n -(dx^{i_1} \otimes d\beta)(z), \end{aligned}$$

whence follows the result. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *If ω is a k -tensor on an n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $k \leq (n + 1)$, and $d\omega = 0$, then ω is a k -form.*

Proof. Clearly $d\omega(\Xi) = 0$ for any $\Xi \in \chi(M)^{\otimes(k+1)}$. Since

$$d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k+1}}}\right) = 0,$$

we have (using the notation in equation (4.1)),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}}(a_{j_2 j_3 \dots j_{k+1}}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_2}}(a_{j_1 j_3 j_4 \dots j_{k+1}}) + \dots \\ & + \dots + (-1)^{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k+1}}}(a_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_k}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$ to be distinct (which may be done since $\dim(M) = n$), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_1}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{q-1}}} \otimes x^{j_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_q}} \right. \\ \left. \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{q+1}}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j_{k+1}}}\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $p \leq q - 2$. Thus,

$$a_{j_1 j_2 \dots \hat{j}_p \dots j_{k+1}} + (-1)^{q-p} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{p-1} j_q j_{p+1} \dots \hat{j}_q \dots j_{k+1}} = 0.$$

□

The section is closed with the computation of the Leibniz coboundary of one of most important tensors in differential geometry, the Riemann curvature tensor, R . Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian manifold M with metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Given $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$, then R is the four-tensor defined by [10]

$$R(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes W) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y(Z) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X(Z) - \nabla_{[X, Y]}(Z), W \rangle.$$

The Leibniz coboundary dR is expressed in terms of the covariant derivative ∇R , which we briefly review. Let ω be a k -tensor on M and

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k, Z \in \chi(M).$$

Then [1, p. 102] the covariant derivative $\nabla\omega$ is the $(k+1)$ -tensor given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k \otimes Z) &= Z(\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k)) \\ &\quad - \omega(\nabla_Z(X_1) \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k) - \omega(X_1 \otimes \nabla_Z(X_2) \otimes \dots \otimes X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - \omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla_Z(X_k)).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nabla\omega(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k \otimes Z)$ is often denoted as $(\nabla_Z\omega)(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_k)$. The following properties of R are useful in the computation of dR , where $X, Y, Z, W, T \in \chi(M)$:

(i) Bianchi's second identity [1, p. 106]

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_T R)(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes W) &+ (\nabla_Z R)(X \otimes Y \otimes W \otimes T) \\ &+ (\nabla_W R)(X \otimes Y \otimes T \otimes Z) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

which may also be expressed as [8, p. 34]

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X R)(Y \otimes Z \otimes W \otimes T) &+ (\nabla_Y R)(Z \otimes X \otimes W \otimes T) \\ &+ (\nabla_Z R)(X \otimes Y \otimes W \otimes T) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

(ii) skew-symmetry in certain coordinates [1, p. 91]

$$\begin{aligned}R(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes T) &= -R(Y \otimes X \otimes Z \otimes T) \\ R(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes T) &= -R(X \otimes Y \otimes T \otimes Z) \\ R(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes T) &= +R(Z \otimes T \otimes X \otimes Y).\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with curvature tensor R and Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Then for*

$$X, Y, Z, W, T \in \chi(M),$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}dR(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes W \otimes T) &= -(\nabla_Z R)(X \otimes Y \otimes W \otimes T) \\ &\quad + R(Z \otimes T \otimes Y \otimes \nabla_X W) - R(Y \otimes Z \otimes T \otimes \nabla_X W) \\ &\quad - R(Z \otimes W \otimes Y \otimes \nabla_X T) + R(Y \otimes Z \otimes W \otimes \nabla_X T) \\ &\quad - R(Z \otimes T \otimes X \otimes \nabla_Y W) + R(X \otimes Z \otimes T \otimes \nabla_Y W) \\ &\quad + R(Z \otimes W \otimes X \otimes \nabla_Y T) - R(X \otimes Z \otimes W \otimes \nabla_Y T).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. After applying equations (2.1), (4.2), (4.4), and symmetry of the connection,

$$[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} dR(X \otimes Y \otimes Z \otimes W \otimes T) = & \\ & X(R(W \otimes T \otimes Y \otimes Z)) - Y(R(W \otimes T \otimes X \otimes Z)) \\ & - R(W \otimes T \otimes \nabla_X Y \otimes Z) + R(W \otimes T \otimes \nabla_Y X \otimes Z) \\ & + R(W \otimes T \otimes \nabla_X Z \otimes Y) - R(Z \otimes T \otimes \nabla_X W \otimes Y) \\ & + R(Z \otimes W \otimes \nabla_X T \otimes Y) + R(W \otimes T \otimes X \otimes \nabla_Y Z) \\ & - R(Z \otimes T \otimes X \otimes \nabla_Y W) + R(Z \otimes W \otimes X \otimes \nabla_Y T). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from equations (4.3) and (4.4). $\square$

In the statement of lemma 4.3, note that $\nabla_Z R$ is the $C^\infty(M)$ -linear term of dR , while the remaining eight terms comprise the non-linear pieces.

References

- [1] Carmo, M.P. do, *Riemannian Geometry*, Flaherty, F. (trans.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
- [2] Hirsch, M.W., *Differential Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [3] Loday, J.-L., “Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz,” *L’Enseignement Math.*, 39, (1993), 269–293.
- [4] Loday, J.-L., “La Renaissance des Opérades,” *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 792 (1994–95).
- [5] Loday, J.-L., Pirashvili, T., “Universal Enveloping Algebras of Leibniz Algebras and (Co)-homology,” *Math. Annalen*, 296 (1993), 139–158.
- [6] Lodder, J.M., “Leibniz Cohomology for Differentiable Manifolds,” *Annales Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 48, 1 (1998), 73–95.
- [7] Pirashvili, T., “On Leibniz Homology,” *Annales Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, 44, 2, (1994), 401–411.

- [8] Sakai, T., *Riemannian Geometry*, American Mathematical Society, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, v. 149, Providence, 1996.
- [9] Spivak, M., *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, 1979.
- [10] Ibid., vol. II.